UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

| | United States of America | ORDER OF DETENTION | PENDING TRIAL |
|--------------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| | v. Jose Garza-Ramirez | Case No. 1:12-cr-00186-PLN | Л |
| | Defendant | | |
| | fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R efendant be detained pending trial. | eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude | e that these facts require |
| | Part I – I | Findings of Fact | |
| (1) | The defendant is charged with an offense described a federal offense a state or local offense existed – that is | | |
| | a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more. | 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S | S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for |
| | an offense for which the maximum sentence | is death or life imprisonment. | |
| | an offense for which a maximum prison term | of ten years or more is prescribed in: | * |
| | a felony committed after the defendant had but U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable states | | al offenses described in 18 |
| | any felony that is not a crime of violence but i | involves: | |
| | a minor victim the possession or use of a firearr a failure to register under 18 U.S | m or destructive device or any other dang s.C. § 2250 | gerous weapon |
| (2) | The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. | while the defendant was on release pend | ding trial for a federal, state |
| (3) | A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1). | date of conviction defendant | s release from prison for the |
| (4) | Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presidence or the community. I further find that defende | | assure the safety of another |
| | Alternat | tive Findings (A) | |
| (1) | There is probable cause to believe that the defenda | ant has committed an offense | |
| | for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et | | * |
| (0) | under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | (18.1.11.6.8.12.74) | |
| (2) | The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance | | or combination of conditions |
| <i>(</i> (4) | | tive Findings (B) | |
| | There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap | • | o no munitu |
| (2) | There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar | of the Reasons for Detention | ommunity. |
| 1. | find that the testimony and information submitted at | | clear and convincing |
| | a preponderance of the evidence that: | and determinent recarming establishes by | _ 5.541 4.14 5511711151119 |
| 1. Defer | idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co | | |
| | dant is subject to an immigration detainer and would dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention | | nstances change. |

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

| Date: | July 26, 2012 | Judge's Signature: | /s/ Ellen S. Carmody | |
|-------|---------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | Name and Title: | Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge | |